# NOTICE OF MEETING 

## OF THE

# REGIONAL SEWERAGE PROGRAM TECHNICAL COMMITTEE 

## OF THE



WILL BE HELD ON

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2017
2:00 P.M.

BOARD ROOM
AT THE OFFICE OF THE AGENCY 6075 KIMBALL AVENUE CHINO, CA 91710

# Regional Sewerage Program Technical Committee Meeting 

AGENDA<br>Thursday, November 30, 2017<br>2:00 p.m.

## Location

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Boardroom
6075 Kimball Avenue
Chino, CA 91708

## Call to Order and Roll Call

## Additions/Changes to the Agenda

1. Action Items
A. Anproval of the October 262017 Meeting Minutes
B. RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Renlacement Construction Contract Award
C. Recvcled Water Reconcilitation Process
2. Informational Items
A. Regional Contract Update (Oral)
B. RP-1 Canacity Recovery Proiect Consultant Contract Award

Comeranons Uodare
D. P\&ER Annual Reports (10-Year Growth Forecast. Water Use and Energy)
3. Receive and File
A. Draft Reaional Policy Committee_Aaenda
B. Bulldina Activity Renort
C. Recycled Water Distribution - Operations Summary
D. Septic Feasibility Study Update
4. Previous Technical Committee Items Requested None.
5. Other Business
A. IEUA General Manager's Update
B. Committee Member Requested Agenda Items for Next Meeting
C. Committee Member Comments
D. Next Meeting - December 28, 2017/January 25, 2018
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## 6. Adjournment

## DECLARATION OF POSTING

I, Laura Mantilla, Executive Assistant of the Inland Empire Utilities Agency, A Municipal Water District, hereby certify that a copy of this agenda has been posted by 5:30 p.m. in the foyer at the Agency's main office, 6075 Kimball Avenue, Building A, Chino, CA on Monday, November 27, 2017.
Mann Mandel $\$ 1307$
Laura Mantilla

## ACTION <br> ITEM <br> 1A

# Regional Sewerage Program <br> Technical Committee Meeting MINUTES OF OCTOBER 26, 2017 MEETING 

## CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the IEUA/Regional Sewerage Program - Technical Committee was held on Thursday, October 26, 2017, at the Inland Empire Utilities Agency located at 6075 Kimball Avenue, Chino, California. Committee Chairman Chuck Hays called the meeting to order at 2:01 p.m.

## ATTENDANCE

Committee Members:

| Jesus Plasencia | City of Chino |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ron Craig (Alternate) | City of Chino Hills |
| Braden Yu (Alternate) | Cucamonga Valley Water District |
| Chuck Hays | City of Fontana |
| Derek Wieske | City of Montclair |
| Katie Gienger (Alternate) | City of Ontario |
| Rosemary Hoerning | City of Upland |
| Joseph Grindstaff | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |

## Others Present:

| Nicole deMoet | City of Montclair |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kathy Besser | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |
| Randy Lee | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |
| Sylvie Lee | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |
| Laura Mantilla | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |
| Shaun Stone | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |
| Christina Valencia | Inland Empire Utilities Agency |

## ADDITIONS/CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

Chairman Hays asked if there were any changes/additions/deletions to the agenda. There were none.

## 1. ACTION ITEMS

A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF AUGUST 16, 2017 AND SEPTEMBER 28, 2017 MEETING MINUTES Katie Gienger made a motion to correct the meeting minutes of August 16, 2017, Informational Item 2A-Regional Contract. She stated that the five-member agencies that reached consensus were:

Montclair, CVWD, Chino Hills, Chino and Ontario. Ms. Gienger noted that Rosemary Hoerning/City of Upland had left the meeting before the Technical Committee reached consensus.

Motion: By Katie Gienger /City of Ontario and seconded by Branden Yu/Cucamonga Valley Water District to approve the minutes of the August 16, 2017 with corrections noted above to item 2A Regional Contract, and approve the September 28, 2017 Technical Committee meeting minutes.

Motion carried: Unanimously.

## A. RP-4 TRIDENT FILTER REHABILITATION CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARD

Motion: By Braden Yu/Cucamonga Valley Water District and seconded by Rosemary Hoerning/City of Upland to recommend to the IEUA Board of Directors to approve the construction contract award for the RP-4 trident filters rehabilitation and replacement in the amount of \$3,799,000 to J.F. Shea Construction, Inc.

Motion carried: Unanimously.

## 2. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

## A. REGIONAL CONTRACT UPDATE

Mr. Hays/City of Fontana stated that Kearns \& West has met with most of the member agencies. Kearns \& West will be meeting with the city of Upland on October $30^{\text {th }}$ and the city of Chino on November 13.

## B. RECYCLED WATER RECONCILIATION

Sylvie Lee/IEUA gave a presentation on the Recycled Water Reconciliation for FY 2016/17. Ms. Lee stated that on June 6, 2016, IEUA adopted Resolution 2016-6-17 for the purchase of recycled water above base entitlement for contracting agencies. Ms. Lee stated that if a contracting agency exceeds the base entitlement that a provision be made to either purchase replacement water or payment of the surcharge rate. Ms. Lee reviewed the recycled water use summary and noted that the City of Chino exceeded their base entitlement of 5,818 AF (direct use was $6,447 \mathrm{AF}$ ), therefore groundwater recharge was curtailed for the year. Ms. Lee explained that the Chino GWR of 1,397 AF was then reallocated to the remaining six contracting agencies. The City of Chino opted to have the provision of paying the surcharge amount of $\$ 20,166$ for exceeding the base entitlement.

Ms. Lee then reviewed the various options: Option 1.A - Contracting agency with surplus entitlement request for credit instead of replacement water or Option 1.B - Contracting agency with surplus entitlement requests for replacement water. Option 2 - Chino pays with water. Ms. Lee indicated that she sent the contracting agencies an email requesting input on whether the agencies want to receive credit or replacement water. Discussion ensued, and the Committee decided that the item regarding default options for receiving credit from agencies exceeding their entitlement be proposed as an action item at the next Committee meeting.

## 3. RECEIVE AND FILE

A. ENGINEERING PROJECT UPDATES

The Engineering Project Updates presentation was received and filed by the Committee.
B. DRAFT REGIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE AGENDA

The draft Regional Policy Committee Agenda was received and filed by the Committee.
C. BUILDING ACTIVITY REPORT

The Building Activity Report was received and filed by the Committee.
D. RECYCLED WATER DISTRIBUTION - OPERATIONS SUMMARY

The Recycled Water Distribution Operations Summary was received and filed by the Committee.
4. PREVIOUS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE ITEMS REQUESTED

## A. REGIONAL FACILITATION CONTRACT

A copy of the facilitation contract between IEUA and Kearns \& West for the facilitation of the Regional Contract was provided. Derek Wieske requested a schedule with deliverables be provided. Ms. Lee stated that Kearns \& West provided a preliminary schedule in the proposal; however, they want to meet with the member agencies and will then provide a revised schedule and next steps.
5. OTHER BUSINESS

## A. IEUA GENERAL MANAGER'S UPDATE

California WaterFix: Joseph Grindstaff stated that Metropolitan MWD Board voted to support the project; however, some water districts are not in support of the project. The U.S. Interior Department now supports the project, but will not fund it. If the project moves ahead, it will be a phased implementation meaning one tunnel will be built and later it will be decided whether to build a second tunnel.

Proposition-1 Grant Application: Mr. Grindstaff stated that the Committee has been informed on the status of the Proposition-1 Grant Application and IEUA will see a draft of the proposal in March.
B. COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTED AGENDA ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING None.
C. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS
D. NEXT MEETING - NOVEMBER 30, 2017
6. ADJOURNMENT - The meeting adjourned at $2: 36$ p.m.

Transcribed
by:

## ACTION

ITEM
1B

Date: $\quad$ November 30, 2017/December 7, 2017
To: Regional Committees
From:

Subject: RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement Construction Contract Award

## RECOMMENDATION

It is requested that the Regional Committees recommend to the IEUA Board of Directors to award the construction contract for the RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement, Project No. PA17006.02, in the amount of $\$ 2,987,654$ to Genesis Construction.

## BACKGROUND

The largest consumer of electricity in treating wastewater is attributed to the substantial amounts of air being supplied to the secondary treatment process' aeration diffusers. It accounts for about one-fourth of the energy used at a facility. Over time, the aeration diffusers become less effective at producing small air bubbles due to aging and clogging, which negatively impacts the treatment process and increases electricity usage. This portion of the treatment process is a critical step in treating wastewater to discharge permit standards.

Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 5 (RP-5) aeration diffusers are over ten years old and have reached the end of their useful life. This project is intended to remove and replace the diffusers at RP-5 and address other aging process components, including the replacement of failed utility water surface sprayer piping and non-operational mechanical mixers.

On October 4, 2017, a request for bids was advertised to the Pre-Qualified Contractors for construction projects under $\$ 2,000,000$ through PlanetBids. On November 7, 2017, the following four bids were received:
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| Bidder's Name | Total Price |
| :--- | ---: |
| Genesis Construction | $\$ 2,987,654$ |
| J.F. Shea Construction, Inc. | $\$ 3,004,800$ |
| Environmental Construction, Inc. | $\$ 4,050,643$ |
| Mike Bubalo Construction Co., Inc. | $\$ 4,300,000$ |
| Engineer's Estimate | $\$ 1,800,000$ |

Due to the difference in the low bid and engineer's estimate, IEUA staff contacted the two lowest bidders. The two lowest bidders, in good faith, compared their lump sum bid breakdowns directly with the IEUA project team. The difference was that the engineer's estimate was lower, when compared to either breakdown, for the surface sprayer piping replacement labor, aeration diffuser replacement labor, aeration basin dewatering, and stainless-steel material.

Genesis Construction was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder with a bid price of $\$ 2,987,654$. Genesis Construction was pre-qualified by IEUA by presenting the required experience on performing similar projects with other utilities and cities with good workmanship and responsiveness. Genesis Construction successfully completed an aeration diffuser replacement maintenance project at RP-1 in 2013.

The following table is the anticipated project cost:

| Description | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Design Services | $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 , 7 3 3}$ |
| IEUA Design Services (actual cost) | $\$ 74,733$ |
| Construction Services | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 9 , 3 8 3}$ |
| IEUA Construction Services (5\%) | $\$ 149,383$ |
| Construction | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 8 6 , 4 1 9}$ |
| Construction Contract (this action) | $\$ 2,987,654$ |
| Contingency ( $\sim 10 \%)$ | $\$ 298,765$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 5 1 0 , 5 3 5}$ |
| Other Aeration Diffuser Replacement Projects | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 8 0 , 5 5 4}$ |
| Total Project Budget: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 1 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Remaining Budget: | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 7 2 8 , 9 1 1}$ |

The following is the project schedule:

| Project Milestone | Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| Construction Contract Award | December 2017 |
| Construction Completion | December 2018 |
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The RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement is consistent with IEUA's Business Goal of Wastewater Management, specifically the Asset Management objective that IEUA will ensure the regional sewer system and treatment facilities are well maintained, upgraded to meet evolving requirements, sustainably managed, and can accommodate changes in regional water use.

## RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement Construction Contract Award Project No. PA17006.02



Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT


Shaun J. Stone, P.E.
November 2017/December 2017

## Project Location



Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

## The Project

- Equipment and diffusers original from 2004
- Partial diffuser replacement of two zones in 2011
- Reduced process performance and reliability
- Increased process electricity usage
- Failed surface sprayers piping and mixers
- Replace diffusers, surface sprayer piping, and


Aeration Basin Surface Boil


Out of Service Surface Sprayer Pipeline

## Contractor Selection

Four bids were received on November 7, 2017:
Bids Received

| Bidder's Name | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| Genesis Construction | $\$ 2,987,654$ |
| J.F. Shea Construction, Inc. | $\$ 3,004,800$ |
| Environmental Construction, Inc. | $\$ 4,050,643$ |
| Mike Bubalo Construction Co., Inc. | $\$ 4,300,000$ |
|  | Engineer's Estimate |

## Project Budget and Schedule

| Description | Estimated Cost | Project Milestone | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Services | \$74,733 | Construction |  |
| IEUA Design Services (actual cost) | \$74,733 | Construction Contract Award | December 2017 |
| Construction Services | \$149,383 | Construction Completion | December 2018 |
| IEUA Construction Services (5\%) | \$149,383 |  |  |
| Construction | \$3,286,419 |  |  |
| Construction Contract (this action) | \$2,987,654 |  |  |
| Contingency ( $\sim 10 \%$ ) | \$298,765 |  |  |
| Total Project Cost: | \$3,510,535 |  |  |
| Other Aeration Diffuser Replacement Projects | \$1,880,554 |  |  |
| Total Project Budget: | \$10,120,000 |  |  |
| Remaining Budget: | \$4,728,911 |  |  |

## Recommendation

It is requested that the Regional Committees recommend the IEUA Board of Directors award the construction contract for the Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement, Project No. PA17006.02, in the amount of $\$ 2,987,654$ to Genesis Construction.

The RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement is consistent with IEUA's Business Goal of Wastewater Management, specifically the Asset Management objective that IEUA will ensure the regional sewer system and treatment facilities are well maintained, upgraded to meet evolving requirements, sustainably managed, and can accommodate changes in regional water use.

## ACTION ITEM <br> 1C

Date: $\quad$ November 30, 2017
To: Regional Technical Committee
From: Inland Empire Utilities Agency


Subject: Recycled Water Reconciliation Process

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Regional Technical Committee approve the default methodology of receiving monetary credit for any surcharges that result from the use of recycled water that exceeds base entitlement.

## BACKGROUND

The Regional Committees and the IEUA Board approved IEUA Resolutions 2016-6-16 and 2016-6-17 on June 15, 2016. The Resolutions provide the mechanism for compensation for use over a contracting agency's entitlement of recycled water. A contracting agency exceeding its base entitlement has the following means to provide compensation for the use above entitlement:

1. Provide water from storage in an equivalent amount exceeding entitlement, or
2. Acquire entitlement from another contracting agency, or
3. Payment of the surcharge rate.

The remaining contract agencies with surplus entitlement will have the option to receive such credit as compensation in the following manner:

1. If credit was provided as water from storage by the initiating contract agency - a prorated amount of its entitlement will be credited to the remainder of contracting agencies.
2. No action is needed if the compensation was provided as entitlement from another contracting agency's unused entitlement.
3. If credit was provided as payment of the surcharge rate, the remainder of the contracting agencies have the following options:
a. Receive monetary credit in the subsequent recycled water invoice from IEUA
b. Request for IEUA to purchase water in an amount equivalent to the monetary credit

Based on the discussion at the Regional Technical Committee on October 26, 2017, the recommendation was to have a default methodology unless specifically requested by the contracting agency. The default methodology for Option 3 above would be 3 a, receive monetary credit in the invoice.

The methodology and exhibits along with timeline for the implementation of the Resolutions 2016-6-16 and 2016-6-17 are included as Exhibit A.

Attachment: Exhibit A - Implementation methodology for Resolutions 2016-6-16 \& 2016-6-17

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECYCLED WATER SURCHARGE FOR USE OVER ENTITLEMENT (IEUA RESOLUTIONS 2016-6-16 AND 2016-6-17) 

## Reconciliation of Recycled Water Usage and Base Entitlement

- By August 15 of the following fiscal year, Contracting Agencies will provide all recycled water usage information to IEUA.
- By September $30^{\text {th }}$ of the fiscal year, IEUA will reconcile the annual recycled water usage for each agency along with its base entitlement. In the event that any contracting agencies does not report their prior year recycled water use by August 15 as required, IEUA will estimate use based on the best information available and reconcile any adjustments in the next fiscal year.
- The amount of water that is used over the base entitlement is equivalent to the quantity of water that Contracting Agency is required to provide as replacement water.
- The replacement water will then be allocated to the Contracting Agencies with surplus entitlement in an amount equivalent to the pro rata share based on the prior Fiscal Year EDUs, excluding the Contracting Agency that exceeded the base entitlement.


## Accounting of Replacement Water

Any Contracting Agency exceeding its base entitlement shall provide replacement water by one of the following means by October $30^{\text {th }}$ of the following fiscal year:

- Stored water in the Chino Groundwater Basin,
- Acquisition of another Contracting Agency's unused entitlement, or
- Payment of surcharge rate to IEUA per the rate resolution in effect.
- If the Contracting Agency pursues transfer of stored groundwater:
- IEUA will verify the replacement water, and will calculate the quantities of replacement water for the agencies with surplus entitlement.
- Calculations for allocations to agencies with surplus entitlement will be done based on the pro-rata share of the base entitlement excluding the agency that exceeded entitlement.
- IEUA will provide documentation to Chino Basin Watermaster to be allocated into the appropriate storage accounts for the Contracting Agencies with surplus entitlement. This process is similar to the existing groundwater recharge allocation that occurs for recycled water recharge.
- If the Contracting Agency acquires entitlement from another agency, the Contracting Agency shall provide such documentation to IEUA. No further action will be required once verification is completed by IEUA.
- If after 120 days of the end of fiscal year, the Contracting Agency has not provided documentation for the replacement water, IEUA will bill the Contracting Agency the surcharge rate for the replacement water. Surcharge rate shall be defined as the difference between the cost of acquisition of the lowest cost water and the groundwater recharge rate.
- The contracting agencies will be notified of the surcharge payment once the reconciliation process has been completed.
- The default option for receiving credit by a Contracting Agency with surplus entitlement will be credit, unless specifically requested to be water in storage account.
- Each Contracting Agency will have 30 days from notification of the reconciliation verification to request the surcharge as water purchased in their name as opposed to receiving monetary credit.
- Unless otherwise requested, IEUA shall credit the Contracting Agency on its next available recycled water monthly invoice for recycled water use and groundwater recharge allocations.
- If IEUA is requested to purchase replacement water by a Contracting Agency, IEUA will purchase within 90 days of such request, beginning with the lowest cost, suitable water.
- If the purchase is made with MWD water, the transaction must be completed prior to December 31 of the calendar year, prior to any MWD rate changes.
- If transaction happens after January 1 of the following calendar year, the water purchased will be based on the moneys collected and the then cost of water.
- IEUA needs to have a minimum of 30 day notice to ensure that the transaction from MWD can be completed.
- IEUA will calculate the quantities of replacement water for the Contracting Agencies with surplus entitlement. IEUA will then provide such documentation to Chino Basin Watermaster to be allocated into the appropriate storage account for the agencies with surplus entitlement. This process is similar to the existing groundwater recharge allocation that occurs for recycled water recharge.

Due Date Contracting Agency Responsibility IEUA Responsibility

| August 15 | Submit RW Usage | Prepare Reconciliation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| September 30 | Cotracting Agency exceeding | Notice of Reconciliation to Contracting <br> Agencies |
| October 30 | Contract <br> entitlement makes provision for <br> replacement water | If preferred, request replacement water <br> in-lieu of credit |
| November 30 |  |  |
| entitlement if applicable |  |  |
| Credit surcharge rates if applicable |  |  |$|$| Complete water purchase with MWD |
| :--- |
| (if 30 day notice was provided) |


| FY 2016-17 Recycled Water Usage and Base Entitlement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EDU's } \\ & \text { FY 2015-16 } \end{aligned}$ | Base Entitlement | Base Entitlement (AF) | GWR Allocation (AF) | Direct Use (AF) |
| Chino | 345,778 | 10.76\% | 5,818 |  | 6,447 |
| Chino Hills | 291,784 | 9.08\% | 4,909 | 1,321 | 1,837 |
| CVWD | 815,420 | 25.38\% | 13,719 | 3,693 | 976 |
| Fontana | 610,436 | 19.00\% | 10,270 | 2,764 | 52 |
| Montclair | 140,854 | 4.38\% | 2,370 | 638 | 305 |
| Ontario | 695,548 | 21.65\% | 11,702 | 3,150 | 8,352 |
| Upland | 313,096 | 9.74\% | 5,268 | 1,418 | 654 |
| JCSD |  |  |  | 950 |  |
| IEUA + SB County |  |  |  |  | 853 |
| Creek Discharge |  |  |  |  | 20,646 |
| Total | 3,212,917 | 100\% | 54,057 | 13,934 | 19,477 |
| Note: |  |  |  |  |  |
| JCSD GWR Allocation will terminate per the 2013 Agreement in 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepared by IEUA, | 5, 2017, Sylvie |  |  |  |  |

Contracting Agency providing replacement water with options of surcharge rate or water transfer
FY 2016/17


Option A: Contracting Agency with surplus entitlement requests for credit instead of replacement water

|  |  |  | Option A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EDU's FY 2015-16 | Calculated Entitlement | Payment to CA (Credit) |
| Chino |  |  |  |
| Chino Hills | 291,784 | 10.18\% | \$2,052 |
| CVWD | 815,420 | 28.44\% | \$5,735 |
| Fontana | 610,436 | 21.29\% | \$4,294 |
| Montclair | 140,854 | 4.91\% | \$991 |
| Ontario | 695,548 | 24.26\% | \$4,892 |
| Upland | 313,096 | 10.92\% | \$2,202 |
| Total | 2,867,138 | 100\% | \$20,166 |

Credit will be applied to the recycled water billing invoice from IEUA for the RW purchases
Option B: Contracting Agency with surplus entitlement requests for replacement water

|  |  |  | Option B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EDU's } \\ \text { FY 2015-2016 } \end{gathered}$ | Calculated <br> Entitlement | Replacement Water | $\qquad$ |
| Chino |  |  |  |  |
| Chino Hills | 291,784 | 10.18\% | 15 | \$7,997.83 |
| CVWD | 815,420 | 28.44\% | 42 | \$22,350.71 |
| Fontana | 610,436 | 21.29\% | 32 | \$16,732 |
| Montclair | 140,854 | 4.91\% | 7 | \$3,861 |
| Ontario | 695,548 | 24.26\% | 36 | \$19,065 |
| Upland | 313,096 | 10.92\% | 16 | \$8,582 |
| Total | 2,867,138 | 100\% | 148 | \$78,588 |

Option 2
Chino pays with water

Lowest cost water: Chino surcharge rate:
Quantity of water to be provided:
\$666 per AF
\$20,166
30 AF
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { Remaining agencies payment: } & 148 \mathrm{AF} \\ & \$ 78,588 \\ \text { EUA provides replacement water: } & 148-29.39 \mathrm{AF}\end{array}$
@ $\$ 530 / \mathrm{AF}$
118 @ $\$ 666 / \mathrm{AF}$
$\$ 78,588$

## INFORMATION

ITEM
2B

Date: $\quad$ November 30, 2017/December 7, 2017
To: Regional Committees

From:
Subject: RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project Consultant Contract Award

## RECOMMENDATION

This is an informational item for the Regional Committees.

## BACKGROUND

The existing Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 1 (RP-1) capacity is limited to approximately 32 MGD due to limitations in the secondary treatment system caused by increased wastewater strength coming into the plant. Beginning in June 2013, IEUA started a planning initiative to update the Wastewater Facilities Master Plan (WFMP). As part of the WFMP, IEUA planned facilities for growth and optimization of wastewater collection, treatment, and recycled water systems. The WFMP incorporated the wastewater flow projections, developed by the Integrated Water Resources Plan (IRP) and operational knowledge of the existing treatment systems, to develop a comprehensive facilities and operations plan. The WFMP confirmed the need to recover treatment capacity (defined as MGD of flow) in the RP-1 Liquids and Solids Treatment Systems due to increased wastewater strength observed in the RP-1 influent flow.

To investigate the current systems and detail system requirements, IEUA completed the RP-1 and Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 5 (RP-5) Expansion Preliminary Design Report (PDR) in March 2017. The PDR established the plan for the capacity recovery at RP-1, liquids treatment expansion at RP-5, the RP-5 Solids Treatment Facility, and the decommissioning required at RP-2. Additionally, the PDR details a project budget and schedule for each project component. The two main objectives of the RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project are:

1. Rehabilitate the RP-1 Liquids Treatment Systems to allow for the treatment of the ultimate influent sewer flow of 40 MGD .
2. Rehabilitate the RP-1 Solids Treatment Systems to allow for the treatment of the solids produced from both RP-1 and RP-4 at the total ultimate influent sewer flow of 60 MGD .

Based on the major recommendations resulting from the RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project PDR, the Project will consist of the following major components:

- Rehabilitate preliminary and primary treatment
- Expand the Intermediate Pump Station
- Convert the existing conventional activated sludge secondary system to a membrane bio-reactor (MBR) system including fine screening consistent with RP-5 Liquid Treatment Expansion
- Modify Lagoon No. 3 piping system to allow for secondary effluent equalization
- Replace the existing solids thickening systems with new rotary drum thickeners to improve solids thickening
- Construct three new smaller acid phase digesters to improve operational performance
- Add recuperative thickening to the digestion process to increase performance and eliminate the need to construct one additional digester
- Replace the existing odor control with a new two-stage bioscrubber with carbon polishing

In addition to the project components listed above, this contract award will include an alternative funding opportunities evaluation and a site master plan of RP-1.

The current Ten-Year Capital Improvement Plan (TYCIP) schedule for the project has the design beginning in 2023 with a construction completion date in 2029 to meet the WFMP 2030 requirement for capacity recovery. IEUA has been actively searching federal, state, and grant opportunities as well as exploring project delivery methods including progressive design build, construction management at risk, and public private partnerships. These funding opportunities typically require further developed project plans. For this reason, the TYCIP included budget in FY 17/18 to complete the RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project $30 \%$ design; completion of the $30 \%$ design will position IEUA to compete for funding should it become available.

On August 15, 2017, IEUA issued a Request for Proposals for Design Services for the RP1 Capacity Recovery Project on PlanetBids. On October 12, 2017, four proposals were received from the qualified firms listed below:

- Black \& Veatch/AECOM
- Carollo
- CDM Smith
- CH 2 M

The proposals were reviewed by a selection committee consisting of IEUA staff from Engineering and Construction Management, Operations and Maintenance, and Contracts and Procurement as well as representatives from Cucamonga Valley Water District, the City of Montclair, and the City of Ontario. IEUA greatly appreciates the support and dedication provided by these representatives in reviewing over 1,400 pages of proposal
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documentation. The proposals were evaluated based on project team qualifications, experience with MBR systems, construction management at risk project delivery, understanding of the project scope, ability to provide innovative alternatives, and ability to meet the project schedule. Based on these criteria, the committee selected two consultants for interviews as listed below:

- Carollo
- CH2M

The selection committee conducted interviews on November 14, 2017. Each interview included a consultant presentation to introduce the project team as well as highlight noteworthy aspects of their proposal, a question-and-answer session to allow the selection committee to ask specific questions of each consultant as it pertained to their proposal, and a consultant final statement. Based upon the written proposal and interview, the selection committee unanimously determined that Carollo provided the best value to IEUA for this project. The major reasons for this selection included:

1. The proposal provided a clear vision for the RP-1 site master plan as the facility evolved from current conditions through ultimate build-out including impacts of major expansions and future repair and rehabilitation projects.
2. The project team created a comprehensive scope of work and project plan and provided a detailed month-by-month schedule of all work efforts, workshops, and deliverables to complete the $30 \%$ design on schedule.
3. The project approach included innovative and cost savings ideas, especially the consolidation of the primary effluent and return activated sludge pump stations to mitigate hydraulic constraints through the secondary treatment system.
4. The project team has proven experience with the design and construction of large MBR systems as well as successfully completing projects through the construction management at risk project delivery method.

The anticipated cost for the RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project is provided in the table below:

| Description | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Design Services | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 6 3 7 , 6 3 3}$ |
| Design Consultant (this item/not-to-exceed) | $\$ 13,637,633$ |
| Other Design Services (4\%) | $\$ 7,000,000$ |
| Construction Services | $\$ \mathbf{1 4 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Engineering Services During Construction (3\%) | $\$ 5,300,000$ |
| Other Construction Services (5\%) | $\$ 8,800,000$ |
| Construction | $\$ \mathbf{1 7 6 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Construction | $\$ 135,400,000$ |
| Contingency (30\%) | $\$ 40,600,000$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ 210,737, \mathbf{6 3 3}$ |
| Total Project Budget | $\$ \mathbf{2 3 0 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Remaining Budget | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 , 3 6 2 , 3 6 7}$ |

IEUA staff is currently in negotiations with Carollo to finalize the scope of work and fee. Carollo's fee proposal of $\$ 13,637,633$ is within the project budget and the engineer's estimate of $8 \%$ of the construction value of the project. The contract award will be for the entirety of the design; however, a notice-to-proceed will only be issued for an alternative funding evaluation, site master plan, and the $30 \%$ design. Additionally, to maintain consistency in the project, it is staff's intention to amend Carollo's contract at the conclusion of the final design to include engineering services during construction, an estimated amendment value of $\$ 5,300,000$, contingent upon Carollo's excellent performance throughout the final design.

A more detailed project schedule is provided below:

| Project Milestone | Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| Design Contract Board Award/Approval | December 2017 |
| Funding Evaluation | March 2018 |
| RP-1 Site Master Plan | June 2018 |
| 30\% Design Completion | December 2018 |
| $50 \%, 85 \%, 100 \%$ Design Completion | TBD |

The RP-5 Liquids Expansion and Solids Treatment Facility projects are consistent with IEUA's Business Goal of Wastewater Management specifically the Water Quality objective that IEUA will ensure that systems are planned, constructed, and managed to protect public health, the environment, and meet anticipated regulatory requirements.

## RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project Consultant Contract Award

Project Nos. EN24001 and EN24002



Shaun J. Stone, P.E.
November 2017/December 2017

## Project Location



## Project Background

- Wastewater Facilities Master Plan created from 2013 2015
- RP-1 and RP-5 Expansion Preliminary Design Report (PDR) created from 2016-2017
- TYCIP scheduled RP-1 Capacity Recovery design to begin in 2023 with construction being completed by 2030
- Potential future funding opportunities are more attainable with further developed project plans
- Staff has initiated the RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project 30\% Design



## Project Scope



Liquids Capacity Recovery


Solids Capacity Recovery

- Existing Structure Utilization
- Site Master Plan
- Funding Opportunities
- Primary Effluent Equalization Conversion
- Headworks Improvements
- Primary Clarifier Rehab
- Intermediate Pump Station Expansion
- Aeration Basin Modifications
- Membrane Bio-Reactor (MBR)
- Rotary Drum Thickening
- Phased Digestion
- Liquids \& Solids Odor Control
- Electrical System Improvements
- Utility System Rehabilitation

Inland Empire Utilities Agency a municipal water district

## Consultant Selection

- Request for Proposals issued on August 15, 2017
- Four Proposals Received on October 12, 2017

| Proposals Received |
| :---: |
| Black \& Veatch/AECOM |
| Carollo |
| CDM Smith |
| CH2M |

- Evaluation and Selection Committee
- Engineering and Construction Management, Operations and Maintenance, Contracts and Procurement, Cucamonga Valley Water District, the City of Montclair, and the City of Ontario
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## Consultant Selection Continued

- Two Consultants invited for interviews on November 14, 2017

Consultant Interviews

| Carollo |
| :---: |
| CH 2 M |

- Carollo unanimously selected for the RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project:
- Clear vision for RP-1 site master plan
- Comprehensive scope of work, project plan, and detailed deliverable schedule
- Project approach including innovative and cost saving alternatives
- Project team experience with MBR and construction management at risk


## Project Budget and Schedule

| Description | Estimated Cost | Project Milestone | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Services | \$20,637,633 | Design |  |
| Consultant Design Contract (this action/NTE) | \$13,637,633 | Consultant Design Contract Award | December 2017 |
| Other Design Services (4\%) | \$7,000,000 |  |  |
| Construction Services | \$14,100,000 | Funding Evaluation | March 2018 |
| Engineering Services During Construction (3\%) | \$5,300,000 | RP-1 Site Master Plan | June 2018 |
| Other Construction Services (5\%) | \$8,800,000 | 30\% Design Completion | December 2018 |
| Construction | \$176,000,000 | 50\%, 85\%, 100\% Design | TBD |
| Construction (estimate) | \$135,400,000 | Construction |  |
| Contingency (30\%) | \$40,600,000 |  |  |  |
| Total Project Cost: | \$210,737,633 | Construction Contract Award | TBD |
| Total Project Budget: | \$230,100,000 | Construction Completion | TBD |
| Remaining Budget: | \$19,362,367 |  |  |

Inland Empire Utilities Agency

## Questions



The RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project is consistent with the IEUA's Business Goal of Wastewater Management specifically the Water Quality objective that IEUA will ensure that systems are planned, constructed, and managed to protect public health, the environment, and meet anticipated regulatory requirements.

INFORMATION ITEM
2C

## Operations Division Update



(


Randy Lee, Executive Manager of Operations/AGM November 2017/December 2017

## Groundwater Recharge FY 2016/17

IEUA Historical RW Deliveries
FY 05/06 to FY 16/17


Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICE

## Staffing

- Retirements
- Last 12 months: 12
- Next 12 months: 6
- Volunteer/Intern Program
- Operations
- Maintenance
- Internal Promotions

Intern and Volunteer
Wastewater/Water
Operator In Training Program


July 2015

## Operations \& Maintenance by the Numbers

```
6 Treatment Plants +1 Composting Facility +19 GWR Sites +2 Sewage Collection Systems Produce 48 MGD Recycled Water + 12 MGD Potable Water
```



```
All of the equipment is operated and maintained by: 43 Operators + 43 Maintenance Staff
6 Sewage Collection Staff

\section*{Operations \& Maintenance Challenges}
- CCWRF Trihalomethanes
- RP-5 Coliform


A MUNICIPAI WATER DISTRICT
- RP-4 Headworks Fine Screen


\section*{Operations \& Maintenance Challenges}
- Desalter Coating Project
- Incident on 10/16/2017


\section*{IEUA Maintenance Philosophy}
- Reliability Centered Maintenance
- Success Story:
- CDA Desalter I: Reverse Osmosis Pump (350 HP)
- 1.8 million gallons per day each train (4 total)
- Vibration trending up
- Pulled motor from service in time
- Spare on hand and back in service in 1 day
- Saved \$150,000 potential replacement cost
- Saved up to 18 weeks of down time


\section*{INFORMATION}

\section*{2D}

Date: \(\quad\) November 30, 2017/December 7, 2017
To: Regional Committees
From:

Subject:
Planning \& Environmental Resources Annual Reports (10-Year Growth Forecast, Water Use, and Energy)

\section*{RECOMMENDATION}

This is an informational item for the Regional Committees to review.

\section*{BACKGROUND}

This item was presented to the IEUA Board of Directors meeting on October 18, 2017.

Date: October 18, 2017
To: The Honorable Board of Directors From: P. Joseph Gaydstaff, General Manager
Committee: Engineering, Operations \& Water Resources Committee

\section*{Executive Contact: Chris Berch, Executive Manager of Engineering/AGM}

\section*{Subject: Planning \& Environmental Resources Annual Reports (10-Year Growth Forecast, Water Use, and Energy)}

\section*{Executive Summary: \\ The Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) monitors and compiles water use data from each of its retail agencies to track overall water demands and sources of supply. Each year, this data is compiled into an Annual Water Use Report. Data includes monthly water use by retail agency and by source of supply, a five-year history of water use, and retail agency water usage as a percentage of the total water used in the service area. Total water consumption within IEUA's service area for FY 2016/17 is 184,060 AF, a 9\% increase from FY 2015/16, however \(20 \%\) less water than in FY 2013/14. \\ IEUA's energy consumption, renewable generation performance and savings, and energy efficiency projects are reported in the Annual Energy Report. IEUA on average consumed \(73,884 \mathrm{MWh}\) of electricity, of which \(16 \%\) was generated by its renewable sources. \\ IEUA working with the Regional Contract member agencies, publishes a ten year forecast on building activity which is subsequently used in budget and rate forecasts. The member agency ten year growth forecast is 55,388 equivalent dwelling units, up from 41,782.}

\section*{Staff's Recommendation:}

This is an informational item for the Board of Directors to receive and file.

\section*{Budget Impact: \(\mathrm{N} \quad\) Budgeted (Y/N): N Amendment (YN): N Requested Amount:}

\section*{Account/Project Name:}

N/A

Fiscal Impact (explain if not budgeted):

Prior Board Action:
None

\section*{Environmental Determination:}

Not Applicable

\section*{Business Goal:}

Not Applicable

\section*{Attachments:}

Attachment 1 - PowerPoint
Attachment 2 - IEUA FY 2016/17 Annual Water Use Report
Attachment 3 - IEUA Annual Energy Report FY 2016/17

Planning \& Environmental Resources Annual Reports (10-YEAR GROWTH FORECAST, WATER USE, \& ENERGY)


October 2017

\section*{FY16/17 Building Activity}

\section*{5,189 EDUs Resulted in \(\$ 29.9 \mathrm{M}\) in CCRA Funding}


\section*{Regional Contracting Agencies EDU Projections}


2016 Ten Year Growth Forecast: 41,782 EDU 2017 Ten Year Growth Forecast: 55,388 EDU
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\section*{Regional Water Use Trend}


\section*{Regional Water Use Trend By Source}


\section*{Energy (FY 16/17)}
- Agency wide consumption: \(73,884 \mathrm{MWh}\)
- Annual renewable generation onsite: \(16 \%\)
- Annual renewable portfolio savings: \(\$ 370,000\)
- Completed energy efficiency projects
- Lighting and pump replacements/retrofits
- Energy savings: 1,185 MWh/year
- Power reduction: 110 kW
- Savings \$142,000/year

IEUA Electricity Source

- Imported Electricity (MWh) \(\pm\) Electricity from IEUA Renewables (MWh)

\section*{Progress Towards Peak Power Independence by 2020}

Output from renewables is approximately \(50 \%\) of the summer peak demand


\section*{IEUA FY 2016-2017 Annual Water Use Report: \\ Retail Agency Water Use and Five Year History}


\section*{Table of Contents}
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City of Chino Hills ..... 14
City of Ontario ..... 17
Monte Vista Water District ..... 19
City of Upland ..... 22
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\section*{Preface}

\section*{FY 2016-17 Water Use Summary Report}

Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) monitors and compiles water use data from each of its retail agencies to track overall water demands and sources of supply. Each year, this data is compiled into an Annual Water Use Report. Data includes monthly water use by member agency and by source of supply, a five-year history of water use, and retail agency water usage as a percentage of the total water used in the service area.

Following unprecedented water conservation and plentiful winter rain and snow, Governor Brown ended the drought state of emergency in April 2017. The drought, which lasted from winter 2012 through 2016, included the driest four-year statewide precipitation on record combined with the lowest snowpack on record in the Sierra-Cascades, and extraordinarily high temperatures (2014, 2015 and 2016 were California's first, second and third warmest year in terms of statewide average temperatures). Monthly water reporting requirements and prohibitions on wasteful practices, such as watering during or right after rainfall, that were established during the multi-year drought have continued to be in effect. Initiatives to "Make Conservation a California Way of Life" by establishing water use targets and eliminating water waste are under debate.

Total water consumption within IEUA's service area for FY \(16 / 17\) is \(184,060 \mathrm{AF}\). This is a \(9 \%\) increase ( \(15,261 \mathrm{AF}\) ) from FY 2015/16, however the region is still using approximately \(20 \%\) less water than in FY 13/14. This increase is primarily the result of a \(33 \%\) increase in imported water purchases

Regional Monthly Total Water Usage FY 16/17 Comparison to FY15/16


\section*{IEUA Member Agency Overall Total Water Use Trend}


Note: Total Water Use Data includes imported water, surface water, groundwater, recycled and desalter production. Excludes IEUA groundwater recharge
as a result of the MWD Water Supply Allocation being lifted, and an increased availability of local surface water supplies due to increased rainfall. Overall imported water purchases are still \(63 \%\) below purchases in FY 13/14. Groundwater extraction has remained relatively constant, with a slight (7\%) reduction in extraction from the Chino Groundwater Basin.

IEUA anticipates a slight trend of increasing usage as a response to high temperatures and the end of


\footnotetext{
*Other Local Water includes purchases from local water companies such as SAWCo and WECWC
}
the drought. However, although development is anticipated to continue and growth may rebound at the end of the drought, long-term demands are not expected to greatly increase. This analysis came from demand modeling conducted as part of IEUA's 2015 Integrated Resources Plan (IRP) and Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) which found that new developments in the region tend to be more water efficient due to changes in the plumbing code, higher density developments with less landscaping, and compliance with the existing model landscape ordinance requirements set forth in \(A B 1881\). It should also be noted that water usage has remained below projections made in the IRP and UWMP as a result of the drought.

In addition, the region is continuing to diversify and maximize local resource development, expand water use efficiency programs, and assist interested member agencies with the development of budget based rate structures. These efforts will continue to prepare the service area to cope with future dry years and increase regional resiliency in the face of climate change.

Below is a summary and update on the region's major water supply efforts and programs:
- IEUA has completed the Facilities Master Plan Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report, which includes conceptual regional water supply projects from the IRP.
- IRP Phase II is underway and has compiled over 200 water supply projects from member agencies. These projects will be used to conduct a gap-assessment of the portfolios modeled during Phase I, and develop an implementation schedule, and financial plan. Phase II also included the development of a regional water supply schematic that may be used to identify infrastructure constraints, discuss potential improvements, and assess how programs such as water banking and SARCCUP would work.
- Construction of the Wineville Recycled Water Pipeline has been completed and begun service the city of Fontana. Total direct recycled water deliveries to member agencies have slightly increased from 18,336 AF in FY15/16 to 18,703 AF in FY16/17. Additional details about the recycled water program are available in the IEUA FY 2016-2017 Recycled Water Annual Report.
- IEUA launched a Home Pressure Regulation Program in June which will reach out to 500 residential sites and correct high pressure problems by either making adjustments or installing a new regulator. In FY16/17 the program had 141 participants.
- IEUA is continuing to work with the Agricultural Pool to identify appropriate farm sites for water efficiency upgrades. This will help maintain a sustainable Chino Basin groundwater supply.
- IEUA and its member agencies are working towards completing the Phase III expansion of the Chino Desalters. In June 2016, IEUA received \(\$ 7.2\) million in support of this project. The expansion is excepted to create an additional \(10,6000 \mathrm{AF}\) of water per year. In FY16/17 IEUA agency's share of the production was 12,292 AF.
- IEUA and its member agencies continue to implement the water use efficiency programs outlined in the 2015-2020 Regional Water Use Efficiency Business Plan completed in June 2016. This document serves as the blueprint for the Agency's existing regional programs while providing the guidance for developing new cost-effective initiatives. Future conservation targets are set to achieve \(16,095 \mathrm{AF}\) savings in the next 5 years, with a lifetime savings of \(31,226 \mathrm{AF}\). If two member agencies implement budget based rates these savings increase to 33,554 AF by 2020 with an estimated 147,836 AF lifetime savings. The cities of Chino and Chino Hills participated in SAWPA's budget-based rate (BRR) evaluation program. Chino is moving forwards with implementing BBR in FY 18/19. City of Chino Hills is doing a partial BBR using water use and parcel data to establish an efficiency metric. CVWD is currently participating in SAWPA's BBR evaluation program.

IEUA would like to thank its member agencies for their assistance in compiling the data contained in this report.

\section*{SECTION 1}

Total Water Resources Data from FY 16/17

Total IEUA Service Area Water Use For FY 16/17
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use by Retail Agency for FY 16-17 (AFY)} \\
\hline & CHINO & CHINO HILLS & ONTARIO & UPLAND & CVWD & FWC & MVWD & SAWCo & TOTAL \\
\hline Purchases from Imported Water (MWD) & 3,469 & 1,954 & 2,364 & 5,406 & 15,288 & 8,510 & 5,105 & 0 & 42,096 \\
\hline IEUA \(\quad\) Recycled (Direct Use) & 6,447 & 1,838 & 8,352 & 652 & 1,056 & 52 & 306 & 0 & 18,703 \\
\hline Subtotal & 9,916 & 3,792 & 10,716 & 6,058 & 16,344 & 8,562 & 5,411 & 0 & 60,799 \\
\hline Chino Groundwater & 4,972 & 2,245 & 24,672 & 1,259 & 16,549 & 13,251 & 7,786 & 537 & 71,272 \\
\hline Production & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,026 & 8,386 & 10,338 & 0 & 8,739 & 28,490 \\
\hline Local Surface Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,448 & 3,230 & 0 & 5,282 & 10,960 \\
\hline Subtotal & 4,972 & 2,245 & 24,672 & 2,228 & 27,384 & 26,818 & 7,786 & 14,558 & 110,721 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Purchases from Other Agencies} & 5,008 & 4,206 & 3,077 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12,292 \\
\hline & 0 & 4,237 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4,237 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 171 & 8,791 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8,961 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,068 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,068 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 39 \\
\hline Subtotal & 5,008 & 8,444 & 3,248 & 9,858 & 0 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 26,597 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Sales to Other Agencies*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4,818 & 0 & -4,818 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -171 & -171 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8,791 & -8,791 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -278 & -278 \\
\hline Subtotal & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4,818 & -9,240 & -14,058 \\
\hline Total & 19,896 & 14,481 & 38,636 & 18,203 & 43,728 & 35,419 & 8,379 & 5,318 & 184,060 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: All recycled water numbers in this report are based off IEUA operations data and are for direct use only.
Recycled water used for groundwater recharge may be found in the Recycled Water Report.

\section*{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use For FY 16/17}


Total IEUA Service Area Water Use For FY 16/17


\section*{SECTION 2}

Retail Water Use Data from FY 16/17 by Agency

City of Chino
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


\section*{City of Chino}

\section*{FY 2016/17 Water Use Report}



In FY 2016/17, The City of Chino used 12\% (19,896 AF) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

\section*{City of Chino}

\section*{FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Chiro} \\
\hline & & Jup & Augut & September & Ocioter & Nviember & Derenber & January & Febrarir & March & Agril & May & June & Total \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Puritoses Tor IELA} & Imprited Viater(WFA) & 502 & 512 & 494 & 103 & 201 & 1.5 & . & . & 161 & 271 & 311 & 452 & 3/49 \\
\hline & Recelled (ireat Use), & 694 & 1,235 & 860 & m9 & 380 & 239 & 97 & 61 & 141 & 610 & 633 & 688 & 6,47 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Suitutal} & 1,196 & 1,777 & 1,354 & 1,210 & 581 & 384 & 97 & 61 & 302 & 881 & 954 & 1,150 & 9,926 \\
\hline Prosiction & Chimereundurter & 457 & 50 & 415 & 354 & 420 & 296 & 238 & 23 & 383 & 582 & 596 & 487 & 4972 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subtrital} & 467 & 50 & 415 & 324 & 420 & 296 & 238 & 233 & 383 & 582 & 596 & 487 & 4972 \\
\hline Ajenites & COA & 450 & 457 & 432 & 43 & 42 & 371 & 427 & 35 & 426 & 386 & 355 & 413 & 5,088 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Suitutal} & 450 & 47 & 432 & 43 & 42 & 371 & 427 & 335 & 426 & 386 & 365 & 413 & 5,088 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Totit} & 2.123 & 2,25 & 2,202 & 2,017 & 1.42 & 1,651 & 72 & 619 & 1,111 & 1,29 & 1915 & 2,49 & 19,866 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

City of Chino Hills
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


City of Chino Hills
FY 2016/17 Water Use Report



In FY 2016/17, The City of Chino Hills used 8\% (14,481 AF) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

\section*{City of Chino Hills}

\section*{FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{-otal IELA Senice Area Water Lie By Hgeroy for FYle-17/4,} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Chiro Hills} \\
\hline & & July & August & Saptember & October & November & December & , anuary & February & Mach & April & May & June & Total \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Puctases from ELU4} & Imporited Water|WFA & 200 & 200 & 200 & 200 & 1010 & 100 & - & . & 337 & 200 & 167 & 200 & 1.954 \\
\hline & Recyded (Dient Use) & 269 & 265 & 310 & 188 & 100 & 4 & 19 & 5 & 94 & 14 & 178 & 222 & 1,338 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subitotal} & 469 & 455 & 510 & 388 & 20 & 14 & 19 & 5 & 431 & 344 & 345 & 422 & 3,792 \\
\hline Procuctien & Chino Groundwater & 64 & 191 & 68 & 49 & 37 & 234 & 204 & 191 & 64 & 338 & 354 & 218 & 2.245 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subtotal} & 64 & 191 & 68 & 49 & 27 & 234 & 204 & 191 & 64 & 338 & 354 & 218 & 7,766 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Purchases fom ether agercies} & CDA & 366 & 382 & 32 & 384 & 358 & 318 & 361 & 324 & 359 & 335 & 292 & 335 & 4,206 \\
\hline & MWD & 72 & 720 & 718 & 490 & 259 & 60 & - & - & 38 & 232 & 397 & 552 & 4237 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{5 Sutitial} & 1,157 & 1,102 & 1,000 & 884 & 617 & 378 & 361 & 34 & 397 & 567 & 689 & 887 & 8.44 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total} & 1,600 & 1,758 & 1,230 & 1,320 & 1,088 & 76 & 585 & 501 & 942 & 1,249 & 1,388 & 1,527 & 14.481 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{City of Ontario}

FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


City of Ontario
FY 2016/17 Water Use Report



In FY 2016/17, The City of Ontario used 21\% ( 38,636 AF) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

City of Ontario
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{3n:zio} \\
\hline & Ju4 & Augrs & Seprember & Ototas & November & Decermber & January & Febvary & Mart & April & Maf & June & Total \\
\hline mpated Whar (Mfa) & 24 & 62 & 159 & 3.41 & 182 & 138 & - & . & 215 & 257 & 327 & 47 & 2364 \\
\hline Reccled (Direatuse) & 1,124 & 1,186 & 1,22 & 433 & 702 & 338 & 164 & 107 & 198 & 695 & 731 & 342 & 88.32 \\
\hline Sultotal & 1,370 & 1.448 & 1,381 & 1,24 & 844 & 476 & 164 & 107 & 413 & 952 & 1,058 & 1,376 & 10,76 \\
\hline Procutior ChimGrounduriter & \(2 \cdot 82\) & 2,530 & 2,551 & 1,936 & 1,913 & 1,41 & 1264 & 1,301 & 1,713 & 2,150 & 2,336 & 2, 255 & 24.72 \\
\hline Siltotal & 2682 & 2,550 & 2551 & 1.936 & 1,913 & 1,41 & 1264 & 1,301 & 1,713 & 2,180 & 2,336 & 2,45 & 24.72 \\
\hline cos & 348 & 229 & 258 & 27 & 251 & 44 & 392 & 124 & 178 & 10 & 157 & 188 & 3077 \\
\hline  & - & . & 39 & - & . & . & - & . & 30 & 32 & 35 & 34 & 171 \\
\hline Siltotal & 348 & 239 & 288 & 27 & 251 & 44 & 392 & 124 & 28 & 202 & 22 & 22 & 3,28 \\
\hline Totit & 4350 & 4,87 & 4,230 & 3,47 & 3,69 & 2,311 & 180 & 1.572 & 2333 & 3,3:5 & 3,557 & 4,46 & 38.588 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Monte Vista Water District}

\section*{FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage}


\section*{Monte Vista Water District}



In FY 2016/17, Monte Vista Water District used 5\% (8,379 AF) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

\section*{Monte Vista Water District}

\section*{FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Total IEUA Senvice Area Water Use By Agency for P116-17 [AF)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{MVWD} \\
\hline & & July & August & September & October & November & December & January & February & March & April & May & June & Total \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{PurchasesfromIEUA} & Imported Water (WFA) & 1,265 & 1,020 & 1,012 & 765 & 319 & 60 & - & . & 142 & 56 & 27 & 438 & 5,105 \\
\hline & Recycled (Direct Use) & 41 & 46 & 40 & 31 & 23 & 11 & 2 & 2 & 15 & 26 & 34 & 35 & 306 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 1,306 & 1,066 & 1,052 & 796 & 342 & 71 & 2 & 2 & 157 & 82 & 61 & 473 & 5,411 \\
\hline Procuction & Chino Groundwater & 395 & 723 & 520 & 388 & 574 & 625 & 542 & 415 & 446 & 970 & 1,247 & 941 & 7,786 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 395 & 723 & 520 & 388 & 574 & 625 & 542 & 415 & 446 & 970 & 1,247 & 941 & 7,786 \\
\hline Salesto other rgences & Chino Hills & (771) & (893) & (759) & (490) & (312) & (183) & (29) & . & (41) & (320) & (483) & (539) & (4,888) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & (771) & (883) & (759) & (490) & |312) & [183) & (2) & . & (41) & (320) & (481) & (539) & (4,818) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Total} & 930 & 895 & 813 & 694 & 604 & 512 & 515 & 417 & 562 & 733 & 828 & 874 & 8,379 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

City of Upland
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


\section*{City of Upland}

\section*{FY 2016/17 Water Use Report}



In FY 2016/17, The City of Upland used 9\% (18,203 AF) of \(184,060 \mathrm{AF}\) used in the IEUA service area.

City of Upland
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Uflard} \\
\hline & Julp & Augut & Seprember & October & Nomember & Deceenber & Jenuary & Fetrary & March & April & May & June & Tetal \\
\hline Inpored Wder(wath & 72 & 980 & 887 & 622 & 359 & 151 & . & . & 181 & 251 & 427 & 788 & 5.35 \\
\hline Purtises form lelia & 99 & 100 & 32 & 48 & 4. & 16 & 3 & 4 & 4. & 75 & 55 & 4 & 66 \\
\hline Imonted Mater(funw & 11 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 4 & . & . & . & - & . & . & . & 18 \\
\hline Sutitotal & 891 & 1.002 & 970 & 600 & 404 & 167 & 3 & 4 & 277 & 326 & 422 & 42 & 6,158 \\
\hline Chino Gwounduiter & 159 & 33 & 5 & 36 & 52 & 73 & 102 & 106 & 177 & 210 & 165 & 152 & 1,200 \\
\hline Hrcuution OtherGounduriter & 89 & 84 & 13 & 80 & 76 & 60 & 76 & 31 & 35 & 89 & 12. & 151 & 1,106 \\
\hline Sututital & 248 & 117 & 7 & 116 & 137 & 133 & 176 & 176 & 202 & 199 & 292 & 313 & 228 \\
\hline Surcomber & 894 & 940 & 598 & 632 & \(60^{2}\) & 483 & 42 & 40 & 92 & 993 & 945 & 855 & 8,711 \\
\hline Purchjestier cter jegrcles Mestend & 99 & 90 & 86 & 93 & 103 & 34 & 94 & 91 & 83 & \(\stackrel{4}{4}\) & 79 & 70 & 1,088 \\
\hline Sutrotic & 933 & 1,031 & 64 & 776 & 710 & 587 & 576 & 502 & 1,05 & \(10 \pi\) & 1,04 & 925 & 9,388 \\
\hline Totis & 2,132 & 2,299 & 1.732 & 1,5.2 & 1,35. & 887 & 735 & 662 & 1.45 & 1,72 & 1,97 & 2000 & 18,233 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Cucamonga Valley Water District}

FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


\section*{Cucamonga Valley Water District}

FY 2016/17 Water Report



In FY 2016/17, Cucamonga Valley Water District used \(\mathbf{2 5 \%}(43,728 \mathrm{AF})\) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

\section*{Cucamonga Valley Water District} FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


Fontana Water Company
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage


Fontana Water Company
FY 2016/17 Water Use Report



In FY 2016/17, The Fontana Water Company used 20\%
( 35,419 AF) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

Fontana Water Company
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{FWK} \\
\hline & & July & Alyut & September & atober & Noventer & Dexember & January & Februar & Mart & April & M \(\mathrm{H}_{4}\) & June & Total \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Puntases iomielis} & mpated tider (efra] & 810 & 92 & 357 & 303 & 23 & 774 & 73 & 512 & 612 & 773 & 87 & 1,054 & 8,310 \\
\hline & Papded (Prieditue) & 4 & 2 & 1 & - & - & . & . & . & 4 & 10 & 12 & 19 & 52 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Sistotasal} & 814 & \(9 \%\) & 358 & 313 & 231 & 74 & 13. & 512 & 616 & 783 & 88 & 1,103 & 8,562 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Prosicticr} & Chino foundwater & 1.984 & 1885 & 1,762 & 1,934 & 1,58 & 6.4 & 115 & 6 & 426 & 578 & 97 & 1,332 & 13351 \\
\hline & Loal Mufdewitit & 7 & 36 & 100 & 51 & 45 & 114 & 18 & 416 & 732 & 654 & 4.8 & 34 & 3.33 \\
\hline & Other Poundiamitr & 915 & 36 & 752 & 807 & 70 & 73.3 & 766 & 704 & 787 & 10\%8 & 1,075 & 1,007 & 10,38 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Sylutital} & 2,978 & 2886 & 2,075 & 2, \(3^{2}\) & 2,04 & 1,511 & 1.119 & 1,18\% & 1,45 & 2,299 & 2,70 & 2,033 & 27, 318 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Pucheses tom ther deneries (Whid} & . & 39 & - & - & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 38 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Syitutis} & . & 39 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 39 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Total} & 3,731 & 3,811 & 3,463 & 3,16 & 2,45 & 2,25 & 1.85 & 1,68 & 2,561 & 3,082 & 3338 & 3,716 & 35.419 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{San Antonio Water Company \\ FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage}


\section*{San Antonio Water Company FY 2016/17 Water Use Report}



In FY 2016/17, The San Antonio Water Company used \(3 \%(5,318\) AF) of 184,060 AF used in the IEUA service area.

San Antonio Water Company
FY 2016/17 Monthly Water Usage
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Sullio} \\
\hline & & Juy & Augrs & Septemter & October & Nruamber & Dscents & Janary & Fetray & Marh & Apil & Milif & Unt & Total \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Purtaxeffrum ILid} & Cain 6 oundutis & 143 & 123 & 78 & 55 & 4 & 15 & 0 & 0 & - & 5 & 6 & \(\pi\) & 537 \\
\hline & sal Mufue \({ }^{\text {a }}\) liter & 34 & \% & 312 & 54 & 4 & 9 & 23 & 804 & 1,189 & 1.109 & 74 & 53 & 520 \\
\hline & Ctheroundmis & 312 & 98 & 78 & 747 & 60 & 35 & 575 & 37 & \% 5 & 798 & 78. & 72 & 8739 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{9,biotis} & 1190 & 1,100 & 85 & 35 & 79 & 6 & 1.124 & 1376 & 1.157 & 1.913 & \(1 * 0\) & 1235 & 14588 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Salestocter ogricies} & Upand & [34] & [40) & [73, & [62] & [ 6 明] & [493] & ( 32 & (4) & (32) & [983] & |95) & (855) & [8.732) \\
\hline & Catrie & - & - & (3) & . & . & . & . & . & 130] & (32) & (33) & (34) & [172) \\
\hline & w\% & - & . & . & |30] & [23) & (20) & . & - & |34 & (3) & (4) & |TA) & [278) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sistatal} & [ 180 & [40) & [35] & |517] & [6\%) & [355] & 18.2 & (4) & 14.15 & [1, 134 & |1020| & (59) & (123) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Tstal} & 23 & 351 & w & 18 & 143 & 43 & 63 & 9 & 152 & 8 & 45 & 33 & 5318 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX A}

Five year Historical Data Summary
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{FY 16-17}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use by Retail Agency for FY 16-17 (AFY)} \\
\hline & & CHINO & CHINO HILLS & ONTARIO & UPLAND & CVWD & FWC & MVWD & SAWCo & TOTAL \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Purchases from IEUA} & Imported Water (MWD) & 3,469 & 1,954 & 2,364 & 5,406 & 15,288 & 8,510 & 5,105 & 0 & 42,096 \\
\hline & Recycled (Direct Use) & 6,447 & 1,838 & 8,352 & 652 & 1,056 & 52 & 306 & 0 & 18,703 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 9,916 & 3,792 & 10,716 & 6,058 & 16,344 & 8,562 & 5,411 & 0 & 60,798 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Production} & Chino Groundwater & 4,972 & 2,245 & 24,672 & 1,259 & 16,549 & 13,251 & 7,786 & 537 & 71,272 \\
\hline & Other Groundwater & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,026 & 8,386 & 10,338 & 0 & 8,739 & 28,490 \\
\hline & Local Surface Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,448 & 3,230 & 0 & 5,282 & 10,960 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 4,972 & 2,245 & 24,672 & 2,228 & 27,384 & 26,818 & 7,785 & 14,558 & 110,721 \\
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{Purchases from Other Agencies} & CDA & 5,008 & 4,206 & 3,077 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12,292 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 4,237 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4,237 \\
\hline & SAWCo Water & 0 & 0 & 171 & 8,791 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8,961 \\
\hline & West End & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,068 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,068 \\
\hline & CVWD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 39 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 5,008 & 8,444 & 3,248 & 9,858 & 0 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 26,597 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Sales to Other Agencies*} & Chino Hills & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4,818 & 0 & -4,818 \\
\hline & Ontario & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -171 & -171 \\
\hline & Upland & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8,791 & -8,791 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -278 & -278 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4,818 & -9,240 & -14,058 \\
\hline & Total & 19,896 & 14,481 & 38,636 & 18,203 & 43,728 & 35,419 & 8,379 & 5,318 & 184,060 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{FY 15-16}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use by Retail Agency for FY 15-16 (AFY)} \\
\hline & & CHINO & CHINO HILLS & ONTARIO & UPLAND & CVWD & FWC & MVWD & SAWCo & TOTAL \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Purchases from IEUA} & Imported Water (MWD) & 2,843 & 110 & 2,755 & 4,890 & 9,712 & 6,613 & 4,799 & 0 & 31,722 \\
\hline & Recycled (Direct Use) & 7,217 & 1,410 & 7,566 & 719 & 1,146 & 0 & 278 & 0 & 18,336 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 10,060 & 1,520 & 10,321 & 5,609 & 10,857 & 6,613 & 5,078 & 0 & 50,058 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Production} & Chino Groundwater & 5,104 & 1,630 & 22,755 & 2,601 & 20,524 & 15,317 & 8,371 & 0 & 76,302 \\
\hline & Other Groundwater & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,054 & 7,783 & 9,253 & 0 & 8,517 & 26,607 \\
\hline & Local Surface Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,002 & 1,497 & 0 & 0 & 2,499 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 5,104 & 1,630 & 22,755 & 3,655 & 29,309 & 26,067 & 8,371 & 8,517 & 105,408 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Purchases from Other Agencies} & CDA & 5,000 & 4,201 & 2,682 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11,883 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 5,642 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5,642 \\
\hline & SAWCo Water & 0 & 0 & 338 & 6,297 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6,635 \\
\hline & West End & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,246 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,246 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 5,000 & 9,843 & 3,020 & 7,543 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 25,406 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Sales to Other Agencies} & Chino Hills & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -5,437 & 0 & -5,437 \\
\hline & Ontario & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -338 & -338 \\
\hline & Upland & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -6,297 & -6,297 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -5,437 & -6,635 & -12,072 \\
\hline & Total & 20,163 & 12,993 & 36,096 & 16,807 & 40,166 & 32,681 & 8,012 & 1,882 & 168,799 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{FY 14-15}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use by Retail Agency for FY 14-15 (AFY)} \\
\hline & & CHINO & CHINO & ONTARIO & UPLAND & CVWD & FWC & MVND & SAWC & TOTAI \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Purchases from IEUA} & Imported Water & 2,830 & 2,494 & 10,703 & 7,047 & 21,306 & 9,994 & 4,530 & 0 & 58,905 \\
\hline & Recycled (Direc & 8,324 & 1,827 & 8,018 & 636 & 1,400 & 0 & 308 & 0 & 20,513 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subtotal} & 11,154 & 4,321 & 18,721 & 7,684 & 22,705 & 9,994 & 4,838 & 0 & 79,418 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Production} & Chino Groundw & 6,497 & 2,904 & 17,426 & 3,416 & 14,490 & 13,344 & 8,407 & 0 & 66,485 \\
\hline & Other Groundw & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,291 & 10,631 & 14,500 & 0 & 6,091 & 32,513 \\
\hline & Local Surface & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,076 & 1,969 & 0 & 0 & 3,044 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subtotal} & 6,497 & 2,904 & 17,426 & 4,708 & 26,196 & 29,813 & 8,407 & 6,091 & 102,042 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Purchases from Other Agencies} & CDA & 5,232 & 4,426 & 4,827 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14,485 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 4,436 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4,436 \\
\hline & SAWCo Water & 0 & 0 & 172 & 5,461 & 0 & 0 & 612 & 0 & 6,246 \\
\hline & West End & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,139 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,139 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subtotal} & 5,232 & 8,862 & 5,000 & 7,601 & 0 & 0 & 612 & 0 & 27,306 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Sales to Other Agencies} & Chino Hills & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4,439 & 0 & -4,439 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -612 & -612 \\
\hline & Ontario & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -172 & -172 \\
\hline & Upland & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3,177 & -3,177 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Subtotal} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4,439 & -3,961 & -8,400 \\
\hline * & Total & 22,884 & 16,087 & 41,147 & 19,992 & 48,902 & 39,807 & 9,419 & 2,129 & 200,366 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{FY 13-14}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use by Retail Agency for FY 13-14 (AFY)} \\
\hline & & CHINO & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHINO \\
HILLS
\end{tabular} & ONTARIO & UPLAND & CVWD & FWC & MVWD & SAWCo & TOTAL \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Purchases from IEUA} & Imported Water (MWD) & 4,342 & 962 & 9,904 & 7,265 & 28,825 & 9,792 & 5,965 & 0 & 67,055 \\
\hline & Recycled (Direct Use) & 8,916 & 2,002 & 8,428 & 869 & 1,652 & 0 & 339 & 0 & 22,205 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 13,258 & 2,964 & 18,332 & 8,134 & 30,477 & 9,792 & 6,304 & 0 & 89,261 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Production} & Chino Groundwater & 6,725 & 2,138 & 21,723 & 2,822 & 16,122 & 15,378 & 12,522 & 0 & 77,430 \\
\hline & Other Groundwater & 0 & 0 & 0 & 704 & 8,324 & 17,454 & 0 & 12,610 & 39,092 \\
\hline & Local Surface Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,254 & 2,405 & 0 & 0 & 3,658 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 6,725 & 2,138 & 21,723 & 3,526 & 25,700 & 35,236 & 12,522 & 12,610 & 120,180 \\
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{Purchases from Other Agencies} & CDA & 5,198 & 4,396 & 5,141 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14,735 \\
\hline & CVWD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 757 & 0 & 0 & 757 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 8,42.7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8,427 \\
\hline & SAWCo Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9,662 & 0 & 0 & 400 & 0 & 10,063 \\
\hline & West End & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,653 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,653 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 5,198 & 12,824 & 5,141 & 12,316 & 0 & 757 & 400 & 0 & 36,636 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Sales to Other Agencies} & Chino Hills & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8,428 & 0 & -8,428 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -400 & -400 \\
\hline & Upland & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -9,662 & -9,662 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8,428 & -10,063 & -18,490 \\
\hline & Total & 25,181 & 17,926 & 45,196 & 23,975 & 56,177 & 45,785 & 10,798 & 2,547 & 227,586 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{FY 12-13}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Total IEUA Service Area Water Use by Retail Agency for FY 12-13 (AFY)} \\
\hline & & CHINO & CHINO HILLS & ONTARIO & UPLAND & CVND & FWC & MVWD & SAWCo & TOTAL \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Purchases from IEUA} & Imported Water (MWD) & 4,085 & 1,822 & 10,244 & 6,067 & 25,845 & 5,215 & 5,737 & 0 & 59,013 \\
\hline & Recycled (Direct Use) & 8,957 & 1,890 & 6,894 & 264 & 1,231 & 0 & 327 & 0 & 19,562 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 13,042 & 3,711 & 17,138 & 6,331 & 27,075 & 5,215 & 6,063 & 0 & 78,575 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Production} & Chino Groundwater & 7,022 & 3,134 & 20,801 & 2,358 & 18,740 & 33,576 & 10,325 & 0 & 95,956 \\
\hline & Other Groundwater & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,349 & 6,420 & 0 & 0 & 13,376 & 21,145 \\
\hline & Local Surface Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,921 & 4,059 & 0 & 0 & 5,980 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 7,022 & 3,134 & 20,801 & 3,707 & 27,081 & 37,635 & 10,325 & 13,376 & 123,081 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Purchases from Other Agencies} & CDA & 4,805 & 4,075 & 4,792 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 13,671 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 6,949 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6,949 \\
\hline & SAWCo Water & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9,594 & 0 & 0 & 841 & 0 & 10,435 \\
\hline & West End & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,692 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,692 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & 4,805 & 11,024 & 4,792 & 13,286 & 0 & 0 & 841 & 0 & 34,747 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Sales to Other Agencies} & Chino Hills & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7,249 & 0 & -7,249 \\
\hline & MVWD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -841 & -841 \\
\hline & Upland & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -9,594 & -9,594 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Subtotal} & - 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7,249 & -10,435 & -17,684 \\
\hline & Total & 24,868 & 17,869 & 42,731 & 23,324 & 54,157 & 42,850 & 9,980 & 2,941 & 218,719 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX B}

\section*{Definitions}

Chino Basin Groundwater - Water pumped from the Chino Basin Aquifer and treated by retail water agencies for all potable uses within the IEUA service area.

Desalter Water - Water pumped from Chino Basin Desalter I owned and operated by the Chino Basin Desalter Authority (CDA). Groundwater, with high levels of dissolved solids, is treated and distributed to several retail agencies within the IEUA's service area for potable uses.

Imported Water (MWD) - Water from Northern California and supplied by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD), and water transferred from other groundwater basins to retail water agencies operating within the IEUA service area. All Tier I and Tier II deliveries are included in this category.

Other Groundwater - Water produced from other local groundwater basins to retail water agencies operating within IEUA's service area.

Surface Water - Water collected by retail water agencies from mountain runoff and storm flows, which is collected and treated for potable use.

Recycled Water - Title 22 recycled water produced by the IEUA at its water recycling plants for distribution through separate pipelines to retail water agency customers for all non-potable uses.

WECWC- West End Consolidated Water Company supplies some water to the City of Upland.

WVWD - West Valley Water District
Production - Amount of water Agencies produce from their groundwater, surface water, or other water supplies that they have rights or jurisdiction over.

Use - Amount of water used within a member agency's jurisdiction, as reported by them to IUEA.

\section*{APPENDIX C Member Agency Organizational Chart}
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\section*{Introduction}

The 2016/17 Energy Report tracks IEUA's energy consumption, renewable generation performance and savings, and energy efficiency projects for the fiscal year. The report concludes with a glimpse of upcoming projects that will be further discussed in subsequent reports.

\section*{Summary}

In 2016/17, IEUA:
- Consumed \(73,884 \mathrm{MWh}\) of electricity (Figure 1)
- Generated \(16 \%\) of the electricity consumed from renewable energy resulting in \(\$ 370,000\) in savings for the fiscal year (Figure 1). Savings to date since 2008 is approximately \(\$ 895,000\).
- Spent \(\$ 8.6\) million for electricity
- Completed the following energy efficiency projects
- Lighting Project (Phase 1)
- CCWRF Sludge Pump Replacement
- Pumping Project (Phase 1)

Figure 1: IEUA Electricity Source for 2016/17


\section*{Did you know?}
* A typical household uses 10,812 kWh per year (U.S. Energy Information Administration).
* The renewable energy generated by IEUA would be able to provide electricity to at least 1,100 homes.

\section*{Flow and Energy Consumption}
- In 2016/17, the annual average influent flow to the regional wastewater facilities was 47.7 MGD which was a decrease of \(0.6 \%\) as compared to the previous fiscal year of 48 MGD (Figure 2). The decrease was due to increased water conservation.
- In 2016/17, IEUA facilities which include the regional wastewater facilities, composting facility, and recycled water pumping used approximately \(73,884 \mathrm{MWh}\) of electricity (Figure 2). The electricity consumption for 2016/17 decreased by \(2.5 \%\) as compared to the previous fiscal year of \(75,795 \mathrm{MWh}\).

Figure 2: IEUA Electricity Use and Regional Influent Flows


\section*{Power Demand}
- During the fiscal year, agency-wide demand ranged from \(8,000 \mathrm{~kW}\) during the winter months and \(10,700 \mathrm{~kW}\) during the summer months (Figure 4 and 5). The large seasonal variation in the power demand is attributed to the recycled water demand and the related recycle water pumping.

\section*{Expenditure}
- In 2016/17, the annual cost for electricity was \(\$ 8.6\) million which was a decrease of \(11 \%\) as compared to the previous fiscal year of \(\$ 9.7\) million. A portion of the savings is due to the current favorable energy market and direct access contract with Shell Energy North America, IEUA's energy service provider. The cost of electricity
remains the highest non-labor operations and maintenance ( \(O \& M\) ) expenditure for IEUA:

\section*{Renewable Energy Production and Storage}
- IEUA's diverse renewable portfolio consists of 3.5 MW solar, 1.0 MW of wind, 2.8 MW fuel cell, 3.0 MW of engines, and 0.5 MW battery. If fully operational, onsite generation would provide enough electricity to satisfy agency-wide demand during peak hours (Figure 4); current output is approximately \(50 \%\) of the summer peak demand (Figure 5). In order to move closer to the goal of peak power independence by 2020, IEUA's renewable portfolio is expected to grow with additional solar and batteries. This would allow IEUA to be able to operate completely off the grid during peak energy usage periods.

Figure 3: IEUA's Diverse Renewable Portfolio

- IEUA's renewable portfolio generated \(16 \%\) of the electricity used in 2016/17. Of the electricity consumed by IEUA;
- \(7.6 \%\) was produced by the Renewable Energy Efficiency Project (REEP) engine at RP-5;
- \(8.0 \%\) was produced by the solar across IEUA facilities; and
- \(0.5 \%\) was produced by the wind turbine at RP-4.
- The biogas engine at RP-2 was shut down in March 2016 in order to comply with more stringent emission limits established by the local air district. As such, the renewable generation from the RP-2 engine is no longer part of the renewable portfolio for 2016/17.
- In \(2016 / 17,12,000 \mathrm{MWh}\) of electricity was generated on site, \(42 \%\) less than \(2015 / 16\). This decrease was primarily due to the fuel cell being offline for the fiscal year due to the fuel cell performance.
- Despite Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) average rates were typically higher than the average grid price in 2016/17, renewable energy projects provided overall \(\$ 370,000\) in savings, as a result of lower standby charges compared to the facility demand charge rate.
- Generated solar electricity varies between the summer and winter seasons, as generation increases in summer months, which have more sunlight hours each day than winter months (Figure 5).
- Overall, during the winter months, the wind turbine produced more consistently (Figure 5).
- Engine was able to produce consistently throughout the year with stable gas production and quality (Figure 5).
- The battery at RP-5 provided IEUA at least \(\$ 8,000\) in savings despite being in test mode from June 2016 - November 2016.

Figure 4: Connected Renewables' Capacities vs. Agency-Wide Power Demand


Figure 5: Actual Renewables' Output


Fuel Cell


\section*{Fuel Cell Performance}
- The fuel cell is assumed to have not generated any renewable energy in 2016/17, although the fuel cell operated for less than a month in the fiscal year. IEUA is unable to obtain data for the month due to the ongoing litigation.

Figure 6: Fuel Cell Electricity Output


\section*{Fuel Cell Cost}
- For 2016/17, the PPA rate for the fuel cell was higher than the average grid price. Figure 7 depicts the unit price of electricity from the fuel cell PPA as compared to the average grid price.

Figure 7: Cost of Fuel Cell Power vs Grid Import

- Despite PPA average rates have been typically higher than the average grid price since \(2014 / 15\), the fuel cell project provided to date approximately \(\$ 628,000\) in savings, as result of lower standby charges compared to the facility demand charge rate. Table 1 provides the cumulative savings and the savings throughout the PPA term with a grid forecast of \(2 \%\) and \(6 \%\) escalation per year.

Table 1: Savings from Fuel Cell Power
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Savings & \(\$ 628,000\) \\
FY \(12 / 13-\) FY \(16 / 17\) & \(-\$ 11,206,000(2 \%\) Esc) \\
\hline Range of Savings PPA Term & \(\$ 5,261,000(6 \%\) Esc) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Solar


\section*{Solar Performance}
- Solar across IEUA facilities generated 5,945 MWh of renewable energy, 5.4\% less than 2015/16. The solar generation for \(2016 / 17\) is an estimate due to a metering issue and will be updated as soon as the issue has been resolved.

Figure 8: Solar Electricity Generation


\section*{Solar Cost}
- For 2016/17, the PPA rate for the solar was higher than the average grid price.

Figure 9: Cost of Solar Power vs Grid Import

- Solar generated \(\$ 145,000\) from 2008/09 to 2016/17.

Table 2: Savings from Solar Power
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Savings & \(\$ 145,000\) \\
FY 08/09 - FY 16/17 & \(\$ 477,000(2 \%\) Esc) \\
\hline Range of Savings PPA Term & \(\$ 3,635,000(6 \%\) Esc) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Wind


Wind Performance
- The wind turbine at RP-4 generated 2,150 MWh of renewable energy, \(21 \%\) higher than 2015/16.

Figure 10: Wind Electricity Generation


\section*{Wind Cost:}
- For \(2016 / 17\), the PPA rate for the wind turbine was \(22 \%\) lower than the average grid price.

Figure 11: Cost of Wind Power vs Grid Import

- Wind generated \(\$ 61,000\) in savings from \(2011 / 12\) to \(2016 / 17\).

Table 3: Savings from Wind Power
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Savings & \(\$ 61,000\) \\
FY 11/12 - FY 16/17 & \\
\hline Range of Savings PPA Term & \(\$ 151,000(2 \%\) Esc) \\
(FY 11/12 - FY 31/32) & \(\$ 477,000(6 \% \mathrm{Esc})\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Engine}


\section*{Engine Performance}
- Renewable energy generated by engines decreased by \(12 \%\) in 2016/17 because the engine at RP-2 was shut down in 2015/16.
- Similar to 2015/16, one of the two Renewable Energy Efficiency Project (REEP) engines at RP-5 was in operation in 2016/17 because RP-5 SHF was unable to produce sufficient biogas to operate both engines. In 2016/17, the engine produced 5,640 MWh of renewable electricity, \(33 \%\) higher than the previous fiscal year.

Figure 12: Engine(s) Electricity Generation


\section*{Engine Cost}
- The rate for the REEP engines remains 5\% lower than the average grid price, consistent with the lease agreement with Inland Bioenergy, LLC (IBE).

Figure 13: Cost of Engine Power vs Grid Import


Table 4: Savings from Engine Power
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Savings & \(\$ 61,000\) \\
\hline FY 11/12-FY 16/17 & \(\$ 295,000(2 \%\) Esc) \\
\hline Range of Savings PPA Term & \(\$ 325,000\) (6\% Esc) \\
\hline (FY 11/12 - FY 21/22) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Energy Efficiency Projects}
- IEUA continues to work with Southern California Edison (SCE) and The Energy Network to conduct comprehensive energy audits and to implement projects to reduce electricity consumption throughout its facilities and operations. In FY \(16 / 17\), several lighting replacements and pump overhauls and retrofits were completed that will result in an annual electricity savings of \(1,185,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{year}\), an avoided power usage of 110 kW , and an annual savings of \(\$ 142,000 /\) year assuming the average price for electricity is \(\$ 0.12 / \mathrm{kWh}\). Project highlights include:

\section*{Lighting Project (Phase 1)}
- This project was completed in August 2016 in which 522 lighting fixtures at RP4/IERCF and CCWRF were replaced with efficient LED fixtures.
- Expected annual savings: 515,135 kWh and \$61,800
- Avoided power usage: 22 kW


LEDs installed at RP4's chemical storage room

\section*{CCWRF Sludge Pumps}
- This project consisted of replacing old sludge pumps at CCWRF with new high efficiency pumps.
- Completed: February 2017
- Expected annual savings: \(8,779 \mathrm{kWh}\) and \(\$ 1,000\)
- Avoided power usage: 2.13 kW

\section*{Pumping Project (Phase 1)}
- This project consisted of refurbishing two recycled water pumps at RP-1, a recycled water pump at RP-4, and the pump at the Intermediate Pump Station (IPS) at RP-1. The project also replaced a sewage pump at the Philadelphia Lift Station.
- Completed: April 2017
- Expected annual savings: \(660,994 \mathrm{kWh}\) and \(\$ 79,000\)
- Avoided power usage: 86.7 kW

\section*{Upcoming Projects}

\section*{Renewable Natural Gas Feasibillity Study}
- On July 19, 2017, IEUA's Board of Directors approved the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between IEUA and Anaergia for the development of a renewable natural gas project at RP-1. IEUA is working with Anaergia to develop a feasibility study of the economic and technical viability of a renewable natural gas facility at RP-1 for the export of biomethane. The feasibility study is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2018.

\section*{IERCF Rooftop Solar}
- On July 19, 2017, the Board of Directors authorized the General Manager to negotiate and execute the agreement between the Inland Empire Regional Composting Authority (IERCA) and IEUA for the installation of a solar photovoltaic power plant, subject to engineering evaluation. Advanced Microgrid Solutions (AMS) who will design, install, and manage the project plans to install 1.5 MW of solar subsequent to further engineering evaluation of the roof structure.

\section*{Energy Storage Installation}
- AMS plans to install a total of 3.5 MW of batteries at RP-4, RP-1 and CCWRF. The installation of a 1.5 MW battery at RP-4 will be completed in February 2018; a 1.0 MW battery at RP -1 will be completed in April 2018; and a 1.0 MW battery at CCWRF will be completed in June 2018. The batteries will efficiently integrate IEUA's renewable generation facilities, improve energy load management, and provide cost savings by shifting electricity use away from expensive peak hours.
- AMS provided a minimum net savings assurance to IEUA and IERCA combined of approximately \(\$ 178,000\) per year for 20 years.

\section*{Lighting Project (Phase 2)}
- This project consists of replacing interior and exterior lights at RP-1, RP-5, CCWRF, and IEUA's Headquarter Building with Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs). At least 2,000 lights will be replaced.

\section*{Pumping Project (Phase 2)}
- This project will replace a sewage pump at the Philadelphia Lift Station and will refurbish three recycled water pumps at RP-1 and a recycled water pump at RP-4. The project is expected to be completed in December 2017.

\section*{Greenhouse Gas Emissions Annual Reporting}
- IEUA will continue to voluntarily report its greenhouse gas emissions to The Climate Registry.

\section*{UCR Energy Demand Management}
- IEUA will continue to work with University of California, Riverside (UCR) to demonstrate and deploy energy management, data acquisition, and supervisory control strategies to improve efficiency and reduce both peak loads and electricity costs at CCWRF.


CCWRF's control screens provide operators with instantaneous facility power demand and the monthly peak demand. This information allows operators to reduce power (e.g. reduce pumping temporarily) to avoid setting a new peak demand, thereby reducing electricity cost.
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\title{
Regional Sewerage Program Policy Committee Meeting
}

\author{
AGENDA \\ Thursday, December 7, 2017 \\ 4:00 p.m.
}

\section*{Location}

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Boardroom
6075 Kimball Avenue
Chino, CA 91708

\section*{Call to Order}

\section*{Pledge of Allegiance}

\section*{Public Comment}

\section*{Changes/Additions/Deletions to the Agenda}

\section*{1. Technical Committee Report (Oral)}

\section*{2. Action Item}
A. Approval of the November 2, 2017 Meeting Minutes
B. RP-5 Aeration Diffuser Replacement Construction Contract Award
3. Informational Items
A. Regional Contract Update/Renewal (Oral)
B. RP-1 Capacity Recovery Project Consultant Contract Award
C. Operations Update
4. Receive and File
A. Building Activity Update
B. Recycled Water Distribution - Operations Summary
C. P\&ER Annual Reports (10-Year Growth Forecast, Water Use, and Energy)
D. Septic Feasibility Study Update
5. Other Business
A. IEUA General Manager's Update
B. Committee Member Requested Agenda Items for Next Meeting
C. Committee Member Comments
D. Next Meeting TBD - January 5, 2017/February 1, 2018

\section*{6. Adjournment}

\section*{DECLARATION OF POSTING}

I, Laura Mantilla, Executive Assistant of the Inland Empire Utilities Agency, A Municipal Water District, hereby certify that a copy of this agenda has been posted by \(5: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}\). in the foyer at the Agency's main office, 6075 Kimball Avenue, Building A, Chino, CA on Monday, December 4, 2017.

Laura Mantilla
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\section*{IEUA RECYCLED WATER DISTRIBUTION - OCTOBER 2017}


\section*{Recycled Water Recharge Actuals / Plan - October 2017 (Acre-Feet)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Basin & 10/1-10/7 & 10/8-10/14 & 10/15-10/21 & 10/22-10/28 & 10/29-10/31 & Month Actual & FY To Date Actual & Deliveries are draft until reported as final. \\
\hline Ely & 51.8 & 1.0 & 9.1 & 27.3 & 2.0 & 91.2 & 368 & \\
\hline Banana & 53.5 & 56.4 & 37.1 & 111.0 & 2.0 & 260.1 & 543 & \\
\hline Hickory & 18.5 & 14.4 & 0.0 & 16.5 & 2.0 & 51.3 & 480 & \\
\hline Turner 1 \& 2 & 42.2 & 50.3 & 72.9 & 55.4 & 2.0 & 222.8 & 582 & \\
\hline Turner 3 \& 4 & 4.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 6.5 & & \\
\hline 8th Street & 62.0 & 45.4 & 51.5 & 35.6 & 2.0 & 196.5 & 540 & \\
\hline Brooks & 48.5 & 53.4 & 1.9 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 105.8 & 556 & \\
\hline RP3 & 48.4 & 80.3 & 82.2 & 61.2 & 2.0 & 274.1 & 957 & \\
\hline Declez & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 0 & \\
\hline Victoria & 27.9 & 17.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 47.4 & 591 & \\
\hline San Sevaine & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 0 & \\
\hline Total & 357.3 & 318.7 & 254.7 & 307.0 & 22.0 & 1,259.7 & 4,617 & 4,793 AF, Previous FY To Date Actual \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Septic to Sewer Feasibility Study Update}


\section*{Project Update}
- Sewer Service Regions (SSRs)
- SSR Factsheets for Contracting Agency service areas complete.
- Contracting Agency representatives to comment
- Initial SSR prioritization complete
- Cost Estimates Factsheets
- Cost estimate factsheets for SSRs complete
- Contracting Agency representatives to comment
- SSR Cost prioritization in progress
- Grant Application
- State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Planning Grant Update
- Project does not meet requirements per the SWRCB Intended Use Plan for the Wastewater Grant.
- Grants Group pursuing further grant opportunities
- Prop 1 Groundwater Grant Program
- SAWPAIRWM Program

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A MUNICIPAZ WATER DISTRICT



\section*{Project Update - Cost Summary}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Chino & Chino Hills & CVWD & Fontana & Montclair & Ontario & Upland* \\
\hline \# of Septic Systems & 1,887 & 625 & 4689 & 11,352 & 511 & 685 & 1,626 \\
\hline Sewer Lines (LF) & 132,476 & 84,676 & 375,154 & 639,625 & 24,674 & 116,237 & 95,295 \\
\hline Estimated Construction Cost & \$102.2M & \$65.3M & \$283.6M & \$541.8M & \$21.8M & \$64.5M & \$80.0M \\
\hline Connection Fees** & \$13.5M & \$4.7M & \$35.7M & \$84.8M & \$3.5M & \$4.9M & \$12.3M \\
\hline Total Estimated Cost & \$115.7M & \$70.0M & \$319.3M & \$626.6M & \$25.3M & \$69.4M & \$92.3M \\
\hline Estimated Cost/Septic Tank & \$61,000 & \$101,000 & \$68,000 & \$53,000 & \$50,000 & \$105,000 & \$57,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Includes San Antonio Heights
** Includes IEUA Connection Fee and Contracting Agency Sewer Impact Fees

Inland Empire Utilifies Agency
A MUNICIPAZ WATER DISTRICT

\section*{Next Steps}
- Implementation of Contracting Agency Comments for Factsheets
- Re-prioritization of SSRs based on Cost Estimates
- Draft Feasibility Study for Contracting Agency Comment
- Finalization of Septic to Sewer Feasibility Study - December 2017```

